Analysis of Genetic Variability, Trait Relationships, and Yield Determinants in Soybean

Shivangi Verma [a], M.K. Karnwal [a*], Garima Aggarwal [a] and Priyanka Parihar [a]

[a] Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study was conducted to enhance soybean yield by evaluating genetic variability, heritability, and trait correlations among 52 advanced breeding lines.
Study Design: A randomized complete block design with three replications was used to assess key traits, including plant height, primary branches, nodes per plant, pods per plant, seeds per pod, flowering duration, maturity duration, and yield per plant.
Place and Duration of Study: Trials were carried out during the Kharif season of 2023 at the N.E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand.
Methodology and Results: High genetic coefficients of variation and heritability for pods per plant and yield per plant indicate their strong genetic control and potential for selection, which was carried out in 52 genotypes. Correlation analysis identified pods per plant as the most influential trait associated with yield, making it a critical selection criterion. Path analysis further highlighted its

_____

*Corresponding author: E-mail: karanmk.30@gmail.com;

direct positive impact on yield, while seeds per pod and days to maturity also contributed positively. Complex indirect effects of traits like nodes per plant and days to flowering emphasize the need for a balanced selection approach.

Conclusion: These findings provide a comprehensive framework for optimizing selection strategies in soybean breeding programs, contributing to the development of high-yielding varieties.

ABBREVIATIONS

PH   : Plant Height
PBPP : Number of Primary Branches Per Plant
NPP   : Number of Nodes Per Plant
PPP   : Number of Pods Per Plant
SPP   : Number of Seeds Per Pod
D50F : Days to 50% Flowering
DM   : Days to Maturity
YPP   : Yield Per Plant
PCV   : Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation
GCV   : Genotypic Coefficient of Variation
h²(bs) : Broad-Sense Heritability

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) belongs to the family Leguminosae, with a chromosome number of (2n=40). This leguminous crop thrives well in tropical, subtropical, and temperate climates. It is often referred to as the "Golden Bean," "Miracle Crop," or "Wonder Crop," soybean is valued for its diverse applications. Originally native to China, soybean was introduced to India in 1968 from the United States (Nagata 1970). Soybean ranks as the second most important oilseed crop in India, following mustard, due to its high nutritional value. It is rich in seed protein (approximately 40%) and contains a significant oil content (around 22%) with a high proportion of unsaturated fatty acids. This composition makes it highly desirable for human consumption and is utilized as a green vegetable, and its milk and oil are widely used in food preparation and various industrial products, while it also contributes to soil enrichment through nitrogen fixation in symbiosis with bacteria.

India has currently 12.07-million-hectare area with production of 13.98 million tons and productivity of 1158 kilogram per hectare (IISR 2023). Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra alone contribute for more than 80 per cent of the country's total soybean production. In Uttarakhand, soybean occupies an area of 7960 ha with an annual production and average productivity of 8364 metric tons and 10.51 q/ ha, respectively(https://agriculture.uk.gov.in/pages/display/315-2023-24).

In selecting desirable genotypes for improved yield in a planned breeding program, it is essential to understand the nature and extent of variation in available breeding materials, the association of yield with contributing traits, and the precise contribution of these traits through direct and indirect effects.

Therefore, studying variability through estimates of the coefficient of variation, heritability, and genetic advance is essential to assess selection response Ahmad et al., (2017) and Rana and Kaur, (2016). Variance component analysis (Jinks and Hayman, 1953), Hayman's graphical analysis (1954, 1956), and Griffing's (1956) combining ability analysis provide valuable insights into the inheritance of quantitative traits and aid in identifying superior parents and combinations likely to produce superior recombinants.

Correlation analysis offers insights into the degree of association between key plant traits and serves as a valuable indicator for predicting yield response based on changes in specific traits whereas Path coefficient analysis (Wright, 1921) is used to clarify the relationships among yield attributes and their contributions to overall yield. Studies on Correlation and path coefficient analyses are essential for establishing selection criteria in soybean improvement programs.

Genetic diversity analysis serves as a valuable approach for quantifying the extent of divergence between biological populations at the genotypic level and evaluating the relative contributions of various components to the overall divergence. The analysis of genetic diversity is a valuable tool for quantifying the degree of divergence between biological populations at the genotypic level and for assessing the relative contributions of different components to the total divergence. Path coefficient analysis, a standardized partial regression coefficient initially introduced by Wright (1921) and later expanded upon by Li (1956) and Dewey and Lu (1959), allows for the partitioning of the correlation coefficient into both direct and indirect effects.

Fig. 1. Agricultural production of Soybean

The present experiment aims to determine the different variability parameters and their direct and indirect effects on seed yield of soybean.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted during the *Kharif* 2023 at N.E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, GBPUAT Pantnagar, Uttarakhand. Experimental material comprised of 52 advanced breeding lines as given in (Table 1). Each genotype was sown in three replications in a five rows plot, measuring 4-meters, spaced 45×5 (cm) apart using a randomized complete block design (RCBD).

Table 1. List of selected Soybean Advanced breeding Lines

S. No.	Genotypes	S. No.	Genotypes
1	DS13-18	27	RKS 54
2	NRC 127	28	JS 20-76
3	RVSM 2011-35	29	DS 97-12
4	PS 1569	30	MLT-19-15423
5	PS 1670	31	MLT-19-15523
6	Himso 1690	32	RVSM 2011-77
7	PS 1605	33	JS 21-71
8	JS 20-11	34	VLS 69
9	DS 13-12	35	SL 1074
10	UPSM 534×G. soja	36	NRC 128
11	UPSM 534	37	TGX 849-D-13-4
12	TGX 1681- 3f	38	MACS 1620
13	PS 26	39	AGS 25
14	RVSM 2011-10	40	EC 241780
15	PS 1661	41	DT 21
16	JS 20-69	42	Doko
17	MACS 303	43	CM 60
18	PS 1584	44	JS 20-34
19	PK 515	45	MAUS 768
20	PS 1225	46	Himso 1689
21	JS 20-116	47	Dsb 37
22	NRC-149	48	Dsb 21
23	PB 1	49	Hardee×G. soja
24	EC 241778	50	JS 335×G. soja
25	JS 20-98	51	Glycine soja
26	JS 20-01	52	NRCSL 4

2.1 Statistical Analysis

Data was collected on five competitive randomly selected plants in each replication for yield and its related traits namely, plant height (PH), number of primary branches per plant (PBPP), number of nodes per plant (NPP), number of pods per plant (PPP), number of seeds per pod (SPP), days to 50% flowering (D50F), days to maturity (DM), Yield per plant (YPP). Genetic parameters and correlation coefficients were computed according to the method suggested by Singh and Chaudhry (1985). Path coefficients were worked out by the methods used by Dewey and Lu (1959).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Genetic Parameters

The genetic coefficient of variation serves as a tool to quantify and compare the level of genetic diversity present across various quantitative and qualitative traits. The high PCV and GCV observed for pods per plant (PPP) and yield per plant (YPP) suggest that these traits have significant variability, which is largely genetic in nature as indicated by their high heritability values. This strong genetic influence, combined with high genetic advance as a percentage of mean, indicates that these traits are highly responsive to selection, making them ideal targets for yield improvement in soybean breeding programs. Similar finding was observed by Bhairav et al., (2006) and Karad et al., (2005) (Table 2). The high heritability coupled with high genetic advance observed for nodes per plant (NPP) also highlights its importance as a selectable trait with substantial genetic gain potential.

Traits such as plant height (PH), with high heritability (97.869%) and moderate genetic advance, suggest that genetic improvement is possible but may yield moderate progress relative to other traits. In contrast, days to 50% flowering (D50F) and days to maturity (DM) show relatively low PCV and GCV values and moderate heritability, suggesting these traits are less variable and may be less responsive to selection. Similar results were reported earlier in soybean by Ramgiry and Raha, (1997), Rajanna et al., (2000) and Singh and Yadav, (2000). Mir and Tyagi, (2010).

Overall, the high heritability and genetic advance in traits like pods per plant, nodes per plant, and yield per plant observed in this study align with the findings of Roy and Ghosh, (2023) which highlight them as critical traits for effective selection strategies aimed at improving soybean yield.

3.2 Correlation Studies

The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between yield and yield components and inter-relationship among them were estimated and presented in the (Table 3). The experimental design and evaluation of traits were conducted following methodologies described by Patil and Tiwari (2021).

- The significant positive correlations observed in this analysis highlights pods per plant (PPP) as the most influential trait associated with yield per plant (YPP), both at the genotypic and phenotypic levels that are consistent with earlier findings (Sharma and Gupta, 2019). This strong association suggests that increasing the number of pods per plant could directly contribute to higher yields, making PPP a prime target for selection in breeding programs. Nodes per plant (NPP) also contributes significantly to yield, reflecting its importance in enhancing yield potential when present in optimal numbers. The positive relationship between plant height (PH) and YPP indicates that taller plants may support more productive structures, possibly through increased photosynthetic capacity or a greater number of nodes. Additionally, the moderate positive correlation between days to 50% flowering (D50F) and YPP suggests that a slight delay in flowering time might allow for better plant development and a better yield, although this needs careful management to avoid adverse effects on maturity (Kumar et al., 2020).

Overall, selecting genotypes with higher values for PPP, NPP, and PH could be beneficial strategies for yield improvement in soybean. These results showed close resemblance with the report of Siahbidi et al. (2013).

3.3 Path Coefficient Analysis

Path coefficient analysis is critical in quantifying the direct and indirect contributions of yield components (Arshad et al., 2018). The direct and indirect contributions of various yield components to grain yield, analyzed through path analysis at the phenotypic level, are detailed in (Table 4).

Table 2. Estimation of genetic parameters for different traits in soybean

S. No.	Character	Mean	Range		PCV	GCV	h²(bs)%	GA as % of mean
			Minimum	Maximum				
1	PH (cm)	39.674	23.36	66.5	25.405	25.132	97.869	51.218
2	PBPP	6.635	3.5	9	15.885	15.155	91.026	29.786
3	NPP	12.986	5.1	37.8	50.998	50.86	99.459	104.487
4	PPP	49.987	15.6	143.1	55.546	55.164	98.629	112.856
5	SPP	2.533	1.5	3.6	15.397	9.334	36.751	11.657
6	D50F	38	31	47	8.22	6.135	55.701	9.432
7	DM	98.013	83	120	7.432	6.973	88.018	13.476
8	YPP(gm)	13.029	3.07	51.15	68.286	65.362	91.619	128.88

Table 3. Genotypic & Phenotypic correlations between grain yield and its component traits

S. No.	Character		PH (cm)	PBPP	NPP	PPP	SPP	D50F	DM	YPP
1	PH (cm)	P	1	0.491	0.537	0.687	0.132	0.237	0.483	0.614
		G	1	0.519	0.547	0.7	0.255	0.312	0.524	0.564
2	PBPP	P	0.491	1	0.042	0.216	0.166	0.001	0.081	0.276
		G	0.519	1	0.044	0.228	0.267	0.008	0.077	0.303
3	NPP	P	0.537	0.042	1	0.782	0.066	0.4	0.442	0.661
		G	0.547	0.044	1	0.789	0.107	0.527	0.469	0.692
4	PPP	P	0.687	0.216	0.782	1	0.112	0.405	0.384	0.906
		G	0.7	0.228	0.789	1	0.177	0.543	0.423	0.94
5	SPP	P	0.132	0.166	0.066	0.112	1	0.085	-0.057	0.315
		G	0.255	0.267	0.107	0.177	1	0.057	-0.126	0.238
6	D50F	P	0.237	0.001	0.4	0.405	0.085	1	0.252	0.305
		G	0.312	0.008	0.527	0.543	0.057	1	0.358	0.409
7	DM	P	0.483	0.081	0.442	0.384	-0.057	0.252	1	0.337
		G	0.524	0.077	0.469	0.423	-0.126	0.358	1	0.375
8	YPP	P	0.614	0.276	0.661	0.906	0.315	0.305	0.337	1
		G	0.564	0.303	0.692	0.94	0.238	0.409	0.375	1

Table 4. Phenotypic path analysis table

S. No.	Character	PH (cm)	PBPP	NPP	PPP	SPP	D50F	DM
1	**PH (cm)**	**-0.13**	0.03836	-0.05055	0.7093	0.0315	-0.01947	0.03453
2	**PBPP**	-0.0638	**0.078**	-0.00396	0.22295	0.03675	-0.00007	0.00579
3	**NPP**	-0.06978	0.00329	**-0.094**	0.80809	0.01462	-0.03292	0.03158
4	**PPP**	-0.08923	0.01686	-0.07363	**1.033**	0.0248	-0.0333	0.02744
5	**SPP**	-0.01844	0.01293	-0.0062	0.11537	**0.22197**	-0.00699	-0.00406
6	**D50F**	-0.03077	0.00007	-0.03768	0.41823	0.01887	**-0.08222**	0.01804
7	**DM**	-0.06278	0.00633	-0.04159	0.39652	-0.01261	-0.02075	**0.07147**

Residual Effect=0.11613

The path analysis reveals that pods per plant (PPP) plays a pivotal role in determining the dependent trait, with its high positive direct effect underscoring it as a critical target in selection for yield improvement in soybean. The significant positive contributions from seeds per pod (SPP) and days to maturity (DM) further emphasize the importance of reproductive traits in yield-related selection.

Traits like nodes per plant (NPP) and days to 50% flowering (D50F) showed significant negative direct effects, indicating potential trade-offs that breeders may need to manage. However, the positive indirect effects of plant height (PH) and nodes per plant (NPP) through pods per plant (PPP) suggest that their overall influence on yield can be favorable when PPP is optimized. This interaction indicates that while direct selection on these traits may not yield positive outcomes, they could contribute indirectly when pods per plant is emphasized. Similar results were also reported by Karnwal and Singh (2009), Yadav et. al. (2009) and Singh et. al. (1999). The complex interplay of indirect effects underscores the importance of a holistic approach in breeding programs, where direct and indirect influences are considered for trait improvement.

4. CONCLUSION

This research offers valuable insights into optimizing selection strategies for soybean yield improvement by analyzing genetic variability, trait correlations, and path coefficients. The findings of this study highlight pods per plant (PPP), nodes per plant (NPP), and yield per plant (YPP) as key traits with high genetic variation, heritability, and genetic advance, making them strong candidates for selection in soybean yield improvement programs. The significant positive correlations and direct effects of these traits on yield underline their potential as effective selection targets. Traits such as plant height (PH) and days to maturity (DM) also contribute positively, though often through indirect pathways, which suggests that an integrated approach considering both direct and indirect effects can optimize breeding outcomes. These insights provide a valuable foundation for developing high-yielding soybean varieties, emphasizing the need for targeted breeding strategies that leverage both genetic variability and trait interrelationships. Furthermore, the study integrates advanced statistical analyses, offering robust and actionable data that can benefit breeding programs globally. The work also addresses the gap in understanding indirect trait effects.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

I hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, F., Khan, H., & Khan, I. A. (2017). Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance for yield and its components in soybean. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54*(2), 389–395.

Arshad, M., Bakhsh, A., & Haqqani, A. M. (2018). Path coefficient analysis for grain yield components in soybean. *International Journal of Agricultural Biology, 20*, 204–210.

Bhairav, B., Sharma, S. P., & Ranwah, B. R. (2006). Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance in soybean. *National Plant Improvement, 8*(1), 94–95.

Dewey, J. R., & Lu, K. H. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat seed production. *Agronomy Journal, 51*, 515–518.

Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences, 9*(4), 463–493.

IISR. (2023). Indian soybean scenario for the year 2023. *Indian Institute of Soybean Research*. https://iisrindore.icar.gov.in

Jinks, J. L., & Hayman, B. I. (1953). The analysis of diallel crosses. *Maize Genetics Crop Newsletter, 27*, 48–54.

Karad, S. R., Harer, P. N., Kadam, D. B., & Shinde, R. B. (2005). Phenotypic variation in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Maharashtra Agriculture Journal, 30*(3), 365–367.

Karnwal, M. K., & Singh, K. (2009). Studies on genetic variability, character association, and path coefficient for seed yield and its contributing traits in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Legume Research, 32*, 70–73.

Kumar, A., Singh, A., & Sharma, D. (2020). Heritability and genetic advance in soybean for yield traits. *Indian Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics, 35*(1), 13–19.

Li, C. D. (1956). The concept of path coefficient and its impact on population genetics. *Biometrics, 12*, 190–210.

Mir, S., & Tyagi, S. D. (2010). Correlation and path coefficient analysis of some quantitative traits in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 1*, 102–106.

Patil, S., & Tiwari, A. (2021). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in soybean genotypes under rainfed conditions. *Agricultural Research Journal, 58*(1), 45–50.

Rajanna, M. P., Vishwanatha, S. R., Kulkarni, R. S., & Ramesh, S. (2000). Correlation and path analysis in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Crop Research Hisar, 20*, 244–247.

Ramgiry, S. R., & Raha, P. (1997). Correlation and path analysis for seed yield and quality attributes in soybean. *Crop Research Hisar, 13*, 137–142.

Rana, R. K., & Kaur, S. (2016). Variability, heritability, and genetic advance in soybean under subtropical conditions. *Journal of Crop Improvement, 30*(3), 305–318.

Roy, D., & Ghosh, S. (2023). Genetic variability and inter-relationships among yield components in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 83*(2), 258–266.

Sharma, R. K., & Gupta, A. (2019). Genetic diversity and trait association in soybean germplasm. *Journal of Oilseeds Research, 36*(2), 215–222.

Siahbidi, M. M., Hoseinzadeh, J., Aboughadareh, A. P., Bazdar, A., Beasty, H., & Rad, H. N. (2013). Character association and path analysis of soybean genotypes under water deficit stress. *International Journal of Biosciences, 3*(10), 126–132.

Singh, R. K., & Choudhary, B. D. (1985). *Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis*. Kalyani Publishers.

Singh, J., & Yadav, H. S. (2000). Factors determining seed yield in early generations of soybean. *Crop Research Hisar, 20*, 233–243.

Wright, S. (1921). Outlined the theory of path analysis based on standardized partial regression analysis. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 5*, 161–215.

Yadav, N. S., Singh, K., Pushpendra, S., Singh, B. V., Pandey, K., & Gupta, M. K. (2009). Correlation and path coefficient study in elite breeding lines of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Pantnagar Journal of Research, 7*, 155–161.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127060